# THEBOARDOFCOUNTYCOMMISSIONERS DURHAM,NORTHCAROLINA

Monday, May 2, 2011

9:00A.M.Worksession

### **AGENDA**

### 1. <u>CitizenComments</u>

30min.

TheBoardofCountyCommissionerswillprovidea30 -minutecommentperiodatthe beginningofitsWorksessionmeetingonthefirstM ondayofeachmonth.Anycitizen of Durham County wishing to speak shall have an opp ortunity to do so. The Board may direct staff to research and reply to the conce rns, if appropriate. Speakers must signinprior to the start of the meeting providing amail/emailaddress and telephone numbers othat staff may reply to comments and/or q uestions. Citizens who request animmediateresponsefromtheBoardareencouraged tosubmitabriefdescriptionof the issue to the Clerk to the Board two weeks prior to the Worksession to allow an opportunity for research prior to the meeting. All speakers shall have three (3) minutes to speak and are requested to refrain from addressing issues related to personnelmatters.

<u>ResourcePerson(s)</u>:MichelleParker-Evans,ClerktotheBoard

<u>County Manager's Recommendation</u>: The Manager recommends that the Board receivecitizencomments and direct stafftorespon d, as deemed appropriate.

### 2. Women's Commission Report

15min.

Chair Luci McMillan has requested to provide a brie fupdate regarding the Durham County Women's Commission.

ResourcePerson: LuciMcMillan, Chair, Durham County Women's Commiss ion

<u>County Manager's Recommendation</u>: The Manager recommends that the Board receive the update and advise the staffifany additional action is necessary.

# 3. <u>TransitPlanUpdate</u>

45min.

Triangle Transit staff will present a general updat e on the status of the Durham County component of the regional transit plan. The presentation will emphasize the latest and most detailed data on the Alternatives A nalysis process that could lead to orridors specified for higher one or more fixed guideway transit investments in c capacity transit in the DCHC-MPO 2035 Long Range Tr ansportation Plan. Additional topics to be discussed will be the recen t Triangle Regional Transit Programpublicworkshops, the draft busplandevelo pedbycountyandtransitagency staff.recentinformationonrevenuecollections an dfutureprojectedrevenue, and the

results of an opinion pollconducted by the Regiona l Transportation Alliance (RTA) on the level of interest and support for transitin vestment in Durham County.

<u>ResourcePersons</u>: MegScully,DurhamCountyMobilityManager;Delphin eSellars, CountyExtensionDirector

<u>County Manager's Recommendation</u>: The County Manager recommends that the BoardreceiveapresentationontheTriangleRegion alTransitProgram.

## 4. <u>2014-2020TransportationImprovementProgram(TIP)</u> <u>LocalPriorityList</u>

MPOstaffwillpresenttheprocessusedforselecti TIPaswellastheDurhamCountyprioritizedlisto onofprojects to be included in the fprojects to be submitted.

The N.C. Department of Transportation (NCDOT) and t he Durham-Chapel Hill-CarrboroMetropolitanPlanningOrganization(DCHCM PO)mutuallyadoptasevenyear Transportation Improvement Program (TIP). The TIP is a program of jectstobeimplementedinthenext transportationcapitalandoperatingassistancepro seven years with the use of federal and state funds . The TIP is traditionally updated everytwo years. The Administration prepares and r ecommends an update to the list oftransportationpriorityprojectstobesubmitted totheMPOforconsiderationinthe development of the next TIP. The MPO will use Durh amCounty's list indeveloping the MPO's priority list. The MPO's priority list is submittedtoNCDOTandprojects arerankedaccordingtoaquantitativemethodology.

<u>Resource Persons</u>: Ellen Beckmann, Transportation Planner, DCHC MPO; M eg Scully, Mobility Manager, Cooperative Extension

<u>County Manager's Recommendation</u>: The County Manager recommends that the Boardreceivethepresentation and place the item on the Consent Agenda for May 9, 2011 to adopt the "Resolution to Endorse the Durham" County Project Priority List for the FY2014-2020 Transportation Improvement Program" (Attachment A).

### 5. WhittedSchoolUpdate

Perthedirection of the BOCC, an IFB was publicly the Whitted School Building. It consisted of a bas 2011 responses were received from three (3) Contract

bidonMarch3,2011tostabilize ebidandalternates. On April 5, tors.

Basedoninformation received in the Whitted School April 6th, 2011, the County Managers Office is requires ponses, and allow General Services Department to qualified roofers.

Development Meeting held on esting a rejection of all IFB bid seek outroof repair costs from

The IFB proposals received confirmed the County's p \$1.5 million for roof replacement, asbestos removal DeveloperswhoattendedtheDevelopmentmeetingsta County only patch the roof to stop additional water remaining funds to a development plan to incentiviz suggested the County seek out interest via an RFP t development partnership and strategy for this prope programs offer incentives to Developers who rehabil Developers in attendance believe that with a financ commitment to the Southsidered evelopment plan, the reuse/repurposeoftheWhittedSchoolsite.

with investigating the possibility of jointly provi

itembrought to the attention of the JCCC was thep

theCity'sFleetMaintenanceDept.tomaintainthe

hasbeendoneonthisoptionoverthepast1.5year

rojected preliminary costs of , and boarding the facility. tedtheywouldrecommendthe damage, and dedicate the e the redevelopment. It was o request proposals for a rty. Federal and State tax credit itate historic buildings. The ial incentive and the City's rewouldbeahealthyinterestin

Resource Persons: Wendell M. Davis, Deputy County Manager; Motiryo Whisler, Director of Keambiroiro, Director of General Services: and Glen Engineering

County Manager's Recommendation: The County Manager recommends the Board receive information as presented, reject the IFB pr oposals, proceed with receiving roofrepairpricing; dedicate the balance of the fu ndstoadevelopmentpartnershipfor the retrofitting of Whitted School, and in preparin g an RFP for a development partnershipandstrategy.

#### 6. FleetMaintenanceMerger

The Joint City-County Committee (JCCC) charged the City and County Managers ding services in certain areas. One ossibilityoftheCountyutilizing County's fleet. Extensive analysis s.

The County has roughly 430 vehicles in its fleet. Some, including vehicles outside the EMS fleet, are serviced by a single mechanic at the EMS garage. The Sheriff's entire fleet (not including Detention Center vehicl es) are serviced at Hendrick ChevroletandtherestoftheCountyfleetisservi cedatSpeight's garage. The latter two arrangements are governed by identical contract s originally worked out by CountyPurchasingin2005 and 2006. Monitoring of these contracts as well as their respective portions of the County fleet is decentra lized to the County departments withvehicles.

Though County vehicles may be getting maintained adequately under the arrangements with Speights and Hendrick, department -level and fleet level information that would inform longer term fleet man agement and replacement is theretofullyutilizeit. The City's currentlyunavailable and/ortheresources are not fleet maintenance software, on the other hand, give s them instantaneous and widerangingdataonanyandallvehiclesintheirfleet

The City has an award-winning fleet maintenance dep
Though other options have been studied, the City an
recommending moving forward with merger. Evencons
the savings to the County are likely to be between
\$150,000 in the out-years. Full administrative sup
Maintenance Department will allow for much better f
medium and long-term, which could shrink the County
advantages, and increase the savings in that way as
looking at the possibility of merging City and Coun
tyft
presentsome efficiencies but would also automatica
lly
the City's fleets of twaresystem.

artment with excess capacity.

d County Managers are idering some up-front costs, \$50,000-\$100,000 and over port of the City's Fleet leet management over the fleet somewhat, among other well. The managers are also tyfueling operations, which might lly feed mileage information into

<u>Resource Persons</u>: Mike Ruffin, County Manager; Drew Cummings, Assista nt CountyManager

<u>County Manager's Recommendation</u>: The County Manager recommends that the Commissioners consider the attached materials and a sk any questions they wish on thematter.

### 7. JuvenileCrimePreventionCouncilAnnualPlanPrese ntation

15min.

PursuanttoNCGSSection143B-543,theJuvenileCri is charged with developing and updating an Annual P systematic process, addressing intervention and pre delinquency.

mePreventionCouncil(JCPC) lan through a data driven vention strategies for juvenile

Eachyear,theDurhamJCPCconductsaplanningproc theneedsofjuvenilesinDurhamCountywhoareat risbeen adjudicated undisciplined or delinquent and readdress those needs. The Council then prioritizes comfor families and for the community and determines to those problem areas. The Council develops and adver process and submits a written plan of action for the example and prevention funds to the Board of County Commiss addition, the JCPC evaluates the performance of proservices they provide, works to increase public award elinquency and of strategies to reduce the problem and appropriately respond to and treat the needs of and provide funds for services for treatment, couns juveniles and their families.

esswhichincludesareviewof
riskofdelinquencyorwhohave
view the resources available to
ommunity risk factors for youth,
he services needed to address
er tises a request for proposal
eexpenditure of juvenile sanction
iss ioners for its approval. In
grams for juveniles and the
awa reness of the causes of
, develops strategies to intervene
juveniles at risk of delinquency
as eling, or rehabilitation for

The Durham County Juvenile Crime Prevention Council (JCP) the annual funding process and, at a regularly sche duled me present, voted on 04/27/11 to recommend the attache allocation of \$536,666 in State funding for FY 2011 -20

(JCPC) has just completed duled meeting with a quorum d local funding plan for the 1 -2012. These funds are BoardofCountyCommissioners May2,2011WorksessionAgenda Page5

anticipated to be included in the State of North Ca rolina's FY 2010-2011 continuation budget, and are allocated to Durham County by the D epartment of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (DJJDP) based on a formu la. 21 agencies submitted funding requests this year totaling \$954,914.

<u>Resource Person(s)</u>: Angela Nunn, JCPC Chairperson; Donald Pinchback, DJJDP ChiefCourtCounselor; CindyPorterfield, DJJDPAre aConsultant

<u>County Manager's Recommendation</u>: The Manager recommends that the Board receive the Annual Plan from the Juvenile Crime Pre vention Council and recognize the council members for their dedication and commit ment to improve the delivery of services to children involved in the juvenile justices to consider the council members for their dedication and commit ment to improve the delivery of services to children involved in the juvenile justices to consider the council members for their dedication and commit ment to improve the delivery of services to children involved in the juvenile justices to children involved in

### 8. <u>Saleof300N.DukeStreet</u>

The Board is requested to receive a presentation re purchase 300 N. Duke Street, commonly referred to a County purchased the property in 1996. Currently, t portion of the County's DSS employees and services surplus storage. Upon completion of the new Human will no longer need the Carmichael property.

garding a proposed offer to stheCarmichaelproperty. The heCarmichaelbuildinghouses a as well as General Services Services Complex the County

Duke University has submitted a letter of intent to In light of the short term use the County has for t property, it is recommended that the County sell th 160A-269, which allows the County to take offers th Following the upset bid process, the highest and be Board for consideration and approval. Although the will need to lease a portion of the building back u into the new Human Services building.

purchasethe Carmichael property. he property, and the value of the e property pursuant to N.C.G.S. en publish them for upset bids. stoffer will be brought back to the County will sell the property, we ntil the DSS employees can move

AcopyoftheLetterofIntentfromDukeUniversity attachedforyourconsideration.

, through Durham Realty, Inc., is

ResourcePerson: Michael M. Ruffin, County Manager

<u>County Manager's Recommendation</u>: The Manager recommends that the Board receive the presentation regarding the sale of 300. North Duke Street and if acceptable, place the offer from Duke University on begintheupsetbidprocess.

# 9. DukeLeaseAmendmentandDukeEasementforFibe rOptic

15min.

The Board is requested to receive a presentation on aproposedThirdAmendmentto the Sublease and a Release of Leasehold Interest re lated to Durham Regional Hospital.TheSubleaseAgreementisathreepartya greementamongDurhamCounty, Durham County Hospital Corporation, and Duke Univer sity Health System, Inc. which leased Durham Regional Hospital to Duke Unive rsityHealthSystem,Inc. A Release of Leasehold Interest was executed on June 29,2007, which, along with an Amendment to the Sublease also executed on June 29. 2007, released the portion of rom the property conveyed via the property which contains the Oakleigh Building f Lease to Durham County Hospital Corporation (referr ed to as the 'Released Property'). The Oakleigh Building was renovated an disbeingusedbyTheDurham Center as the County's crisis and substance abuse a ccess center. The City of Medicine Academy ('CMA') has been constructed adjac enttotheOakleighBuilding on the released property for the use by the DurhamPublicSchools.

During design and construction of the CMA a corner crossing the lease line of the property. The purpos e of the proposed Third Amendment and Release is to modify the legal description of the Released Property to accurately reflect the eastern lease line between the CMA and Durham Regional, and relocate a fiber optic line which was previousl company.

The proposed modifications do not alter the lease p significant impact on the Released Property. Durham and Duke University Health System, Inc. are reviewing the instruments and will consider and approve them at their next meeting. T as the June 29,2007 instruments are attached fory a yments from Duke as there is no County Hospital Corporation in the instruments and will he proposed amendments as well our consideration.

In addition to a Memorandum of Amendment to the Sub lease, the Release of LeaseholdInterestwillneedtobeexecutedandfil edintheRegisterofDeedsOffice toeffectuatetheamendment.

ResourcePerson: Carol Hammett, Deputy County Attorney

<u>County Manager's Recommendation</u>: The Manager recommends that the Board receive the presentation regarding the Third Amendm of Leasehold Interestrelated to the Durham Regiona lease documents.

# 10. LongTermLeasetoDPSfortheCityofMedicin eAcademy

The Board is requested to receive a presentation re AgreementtotheDurhamPublicSchoolsfortheCity The proposedLease Agreement as well as a map showi property is attached.

garding the proposed Lease of Medicine Academy (CMA). ngthe location of the leased

The 2007 Bond provided for the design and construct Academy at a site adjacent to Durham Regional Hospi Southern High School in the late 1990's. The new ladjacent to Durham Regional Hospital and will allow job-shadowing, internships, guest lectures and additional tides and the state of the

it ion of the City of Medicine pi tal. The program began at ocation on Crutchfield Street is CMAstudents' greater access to tional opportunities.

This Boardreceived a presentation in August 2010 r and approved a Memorandum of Agreement authorizing the same manneritish and ling other school project tax reimbursements. Tim Carr, Construction Manager details about the project and answer questions.

egardingtheconstructionproject g DPStohandlethisprojectin sforpurposes of recouping sales withDPS, is present to provide

The commencement date of the Lease will be the date Completion from the City of Durham in order to occu anticipated to be early July. The proposed Term of years from the Commencement Date (through June 30, rateof\$1 peryear. The County would have no responsive and insurance or liability under the terms of the lease would be transferred to DPS pursuant to the terms of the lease to the lease would be transferred to DPS pursuant to the terms of the lease to the lease would be transferred to DPS pursuant to the terms of the lease to the

DPS receives a Certificate of ecu py the school, which is the Lease is approximately 20, 2031), with a nominal lease nsibility formaintenance, repair, ; all responsibility and liability fthe Lease.

<u>Resource Person(s)</u>: Carol Hammett, Deputy County Attorney, Tim Carr, ConstructionProgramDirectorforDurhamPublicSch ools

<u>County Manager's Recommendation</u>: The Manager recommends that the Board receive the presentation regarding the Lease Agreem ent to Durham Public Schools for the City of Medicine Academy, and if appropriat eplace the Lease Agreement on the May 9, 2011 consentagenda for approval.

### 11. ReviewofAprilBOCCDirectives

5min.

It was requested that at each month's Worksession, the Board of County Commissionershastheopportunitytoreviewthepre viousmonth's directives for staff and make comments as necessary.

<u>Resource Persons</u>: Mike Ruffin, County Manager; Michael Davis, Assista nt to the County Manager

<u>County Manager's Recommendation</u>: The Manager recommends that the Board reviewtheMarchBOCCdirectivesandmakecomments tostaffasnecessary.

3hrs.40min.