ESTIMATING REPLACEMENT COST NEW

The informed buyer is not justified in paying anything more for a property than what it would cost him to acquire an equally desirable substitute property. Likewise, the upper limit of value of most improvements is the cost of reproducing an equally desirable substitute improvement. It follows, then, that a uniform starting point for an Equalization Program is to determine the Replacement Cost New of each and every improvement.

REPLACEMENT COST

Replacement Cost is the current cost of producing an improvement of equal utility to the subject property; it may or may not be the cost of reproducing a replica property. The distinction being drawn is one between Replacement Cost, which refers to a substitute property of equal utility, as opposed to Reproduction Cost, which refers to a substitute replica property.

The Replacement Cost of an improvement includes the total cost of construction incurred by the builder, whether preliminary to, during the course of, or after completion of its construction. Among these are materials, labor, all sub-contracts, builder's overhead and profit, architectural and engineering fees, consultation fees, survey and permit fees, legal fees, taxes, insurance and the cost of interim financing.

PRICING SCHEDULES

Pricing schedules and related cost tables are included in this manual to assist the appraiser in arriving at accurate estimation of Replacement Cost New. They have been developed by applying unit-in-place costs to the construction of specified hypothetical or model buildings. Application of the schedules involves the selection of the model which most nearly resembles the subject building and adjusting its price to compensate for all significant variations.

Pricing schedules are included for various types of Residential, Agricultural, Institutional, Commercial and Industrial structures.

Cost adjustments for the variations which are most frequently encountered in a particular type building are included. Adjustments for other variations may be made by using either the other Feature Cost Tables or other appropriate schedules

SELECTING THE PROPER QUALITY GRADE

The quality of materials and workmanship is the one most significant variable to be considered in estimating the replacement cost of a structure. Two buildings may be built from the same general plan, each offering exactly the same facilities and with the same specific features, but with widely different costs due entirely to the quality of materials and workmanship used in their construction. For instance, the cost of a dwelling constructed of high quality materials and with the best of workmanship throughout can be more than twice that of one built from the same floor plan, but with inferior materials and workmanship.

The schedules included in this manual have been developed to provide the appraiser with a range of grades comprehensive enough to distinguish all significant variations in the quality of materials and workmanship which may be encountered; the basic specifications for each grade as to the type of facility furnished remain relatively consistent throughout, and the primary criterion for establishing the grade being the overall quality of materials and workmanship.

The majority of buildings erected fall within a definite class of construction, involving the use of average quality of materials with average quality of workmanship. This type of construction being the most common, it can readily be distinguished by the layman as well as the professional appraiser. Consequently, better or inferior quality of construction can be comparatively observed. The quality grading system and pricing schedules in this manual are keyed to this obvious condition; the basic grade being representative of that cost of construction using average quality of materials with average quality workmanship. The principal Quality Grade classifications are as follows:

Grade XXX	Superior Quality
Grade XX	Excellent Quality
Grade A	Very Good Quality
Grade B	Good Quality
Grade C	Average Quality
Grade D	Fair Quality
Grade E	Poor Quality

The seven grades listed above will cover the entire range of construction quality, from the poorest quality to the finest quality.

The general quality specifications for each grade are as follows:

XXX Grade Buildings generally having an exceptional architectural style and

design, constructed with the finest quality materials and custom workmanship. Superior quality interior finish, built-in features,

deluxe heating system, plumbing and lighting fixtures.

XX Grade Buildings generally having an outstanding architectural style and

design, constructed with the finest quality materials and

workmanship. Superior quality interior finish, built-in features,

deluxe heating system, plumbing and lighting fixtures.

A Grade Architecturally attractive buildings constructed with excellent

quality materials and workmanship throughout. High quality interior finish and built-in features. Deluxe heating system

and very good grade plumbing and lighting fixtures.

B Grade Buildings constructed with good quality materials and above

average workmanship throughout. Moderate architectural treatment. Good quality interior finish and built-in features.

Good grade heating, plumbing and lighting fixtures.

C Grade Buildings constructed with average quality materials and

workmanship throughout, conforming to the base specifications used to develop the pricing schedule. Minimal architectural treatment. Average quality interior finish and built-in features. Standard grade

heating, plumbing and lighting fixtures.

D Grade Buildings constructed with economy quality materials and fair

workmanship throughout. Void of architectural treatment. Cheap quality interior finish and built-in features. Low grade heating,

plumbing and lighting fixtures.

E Grade Buildings constructed with a very cheap grade of materials, usually

"culls", "seconds" and poor- quality workmanship; resulting from unskilled, inexperienced, "do-it-yourself" type labor. Low grade

heating, plumbing, and lighting fixtures.

In order to facilitate using this grading system, and again to promote and maintain uniformity in approach, the value relationship of grade to grade as just described has been incorporated into the development of the base specifications relating to each schedule used in the manual.

Note: The appraiser must exercise extreme caution not to confuse the concepts "quality" and "condition" when selecting the proper grade. This is especially applicable to older buildings, wherein a deteriorated condition can have a noticeable effect on their physical appearance. A building will always retain its initial grade of construction, regardless of its existing deteriorated condition. The Quality Grade ultimately selected must reflect that original built-in quality, and the selection of that grade cannot be influenced in any way by the physical condition of the building.

APPLYING THE PROPER GRADE FACTOR

Grading would be a relatively simple process if all buildings were built to conform to the quality grade specifications outlined above. The fact is, however, that this ideal condition does not exist. It is not unusual for any conventional building to be built incorporating construction qualities that fall between the established grade levels. The grading system in this manual has been designed in such a way as to provide the appraiser with a method for accounting for such variations by establishing intermediate grades.

If the Subject building is judged to be of a better or inferior quality than the actual grade levels, a grade factor of plus (+) or minus (-) should be applied, i.e., C+ would be better than a straight "C" Grade, B- poorer than a straight "B" Grade, etc.

There is rarely a clear-cut designation of a specific grade factor. The appraiser will generally select a range, such as C+ to B-, and then weigh the various quality factors exhibited in the construction in order to select the proper factor.

Following the above procedures results in the full range of Quality Grade Factors, examples of theses factors are listed below.

XXX 350%	A (+)	165%	C(+)	110%	E(+)	65%
XX (+)300%	A	155%	C	100%	E	55%
XX 250%	A (-)	145%	C (-)	95%	E (-)	45%
XX (-) 225%	B (+)	135%	D(+)	90%		
X (+) 195%	В	125%	D	85%		
X 185%	B (-)	120%	D (-)	75%		
X (-) 175%						

Note: the quality factor ultimately selected should represent a composite judgment of the overall Quality Grade. Generally, the quality of materials and workmanship is fairly consistent throughout the construction of a specific building; however, since this is not always the case, it is frequently necessary to weight the quality of each major component in order to arrive at the proper "overall" Quality Grade. Equal consideration must also be given to any "Additions" which are constructed of materials and workmanship inconsistent with the quality of the main building.

APPLYING THE PROPER COST AND DESIGN FACTOR

Architectural fees, material quantities, labor efficiency, and other factors influencing total construction costs may vary considerable from one building to another, depending upon its particular design. Two dwellings, for instance, showing no marked difference in size and quality may still show a measurable difference in cost, attributable primarily to a difference in design.

In computing the replacement cost of any building, therefore, it is necessary to adjust the cost to account for any features varying significantly from the base specifications from which the pricing schedules were developed.

The pricing schedules included in this manual, unless otherwise specified, have been developed to reflect perimeter-to-area wall ratios of rectangular shaped buildings, uniform eave lines and roof slopes, overhangs, ceiling heights, and other architectural features most typical of conventional designs.

The adjustment for variations in design must be made by applying a Cost and Design Factor denoting a percentage adjustment of the sub-total replacement cost, i.e., apply a +5% to indicate a 5% increase in the replacement cost, apply a +10% to indicate a 10% increase, etc.

The Cost and Design Factors applicable to dwellings will normally range from 0 to 15%. However, the Cost and Design Factors applicable to special architectural designs may range considerably higher. The selection of the proper Cost and Design Factor is largely a product of the experience and sound judgment of the appraiser, who must have the ability to analyze various construction components and determine the influence of each upon the overall cost.

PRICING SCHEDULES AND COST TABLES

The Pricing Schedules and Cost Tables in this manual are provided to assist the appraiser in arriving at accurate and uniform valuations. Used properly, they should prove to be an invaluable tool. Quality valuations, however, are not the product of schedules and tables themselves, but rather of the appraiser's ability to use them effectively. In order to bring this about, a thorough understanding of the make-up and the capabilities and limitations of each schedule is essential. The appraiser must know the specifications, from which the base prices were derived, the composition of the prices, and the proper techniques and procedures for applying the prices. What's more important, the appraiser must be able to exercise good common sense and sound judgment in selecting and using them.

Schedule of Values

Durham County 2019

It should also be noted that the schedules and tables in the manual have been developed primarily for mass appraisal and tax equalization purposes. They have, therefore, been designed to provide the appraiser with an uncomplicated, fast, and effective method of arriving at an accurate estimate of replacement costs. In order to maintain simplicity in the schedules, techniques, and procedures, it is often necessary to make certain compromises from a strictly technical and engineering point of view. Extensive effort has been made in developing the schedules to minimize these compromises and limit them to variables that have minimal influence on the final value of the building. The schedules have been designed to reflect actual building costs and practices. Field tests have proven them to be both accurate and reliable, and when applied properly, highly effective in arriving at realistic replacement costs.